

Lecture notes:

Existence result for Maxwell's equations on bounded Lipschitz domains

B. Schweizer¹, D. Wiedemann¹

18. November 2024

Abstract: We give a proof for the existence of weak solutions to time-harmonic Maxwell's equations on bounded Lipschitz domains. This result is well-known; our aim here is to present, in a concise way, the arguments that lead from a compactness result for divergence free functions and a Helmholtz decomposition to the existence result.

1. INTRODUCTION

In this note, we study time-harmonic Maxwell's equations. Given is a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$, two coefficient functions $\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, a frequency $\omega > 0$ and right-hand sides $f_h, f_e: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$. We seek for functions $E, H: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^3$ that satisfy

$$(1.1a) \quad \operatorname{curl} E = i\omega\mu H + f_h \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

$$(1.1b) \quad \operatorname{curl} H = -i\omega\varepsilon E + f_e \quad \text{in } \Omega,$$

with a homogeneous tangential boundary condition for E ,

$$(1.1c) \quad E \times \nu = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega,$$

where ν is the exterior normal vector on $\partial\Omega$. The boundary condition (1.1c) is understood as $E \in H_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$. The weak solution concept and the spaces $H_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ and $H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ are defined below in (1.2)–(1.4).

1.1. Main result. We present and prove a result on the existence of solutions to the Maxwell system (1.1). We will actually provide two different proofs, one with Fredholm operator theory, one with a limiting absorption principle. We emphasize that the result is classical, [3] is one of the more recent references. Our aim here is to present a short derivation.

Theorem 1.1 (Existence and uniqueness). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain and $\omega > 0$ be a frequency. Let $\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ be coefficient functions such that, for some $c_0 > 0$, there holds $\varepsilon \geq c_0$ and $\mu \geq c_0$. We assume that system (1.1) with $f_h = 0$ and $f_e = 0$ has only the trivial solution.*

Then, for every $f_h, f_e \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$, system (1.1) has a unique weak solution $(E, H) \in H_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \times H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$.

We note that the uniqueness follows immediately from the linearity of the Maxwell system and the assumption of uniqueness for $f_h = f_e = 0$.

¹Technische Universität Dortmund, Fakultät für Mathematik, Vogelspothsweg 87, D-44227 Dortmund, ben.schweizer@tu-dortmund.de, david.wiedemann@tu-dortmund.de

In order to keep this exposition as simple as possible, we assume $\operatorname{div}(f_h) = 0$ in Ω and $f_h \cdot \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$. This is understood in the weak sense, $\int_{\Omega} f_h \cdot \nabla\psi = 0$ for every $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$. With the help of the Helmholtz decomposition, it can be shown that this assumption is not a loss of generality.

1.2. Weak form. To formulate (1.1) in a weak sense, we use the space of L^2 -functions such that the distributional curl of the function is again of class L^2 . More precisely, we use

$$(1.2) \quad H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) := \{u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \mid \operatorname{curl} u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)\} .$$

This is a Hilbert space with the norm $\|u\|_{H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)}^2 := \int_{\Omega} \{|u|^2 + |\operatorname{curl} u|^2\}$ and the scalar product $\langle u, \varphi \rangle := \langle u, \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)} + \langle \operatorname{curl} u, \operatorname{curl} \varphi \rangle_{L^2(\Omega)}$.

Let us motivate a weak formulation of the Maxwell system. We choose $\phi \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ arbitrarily and use $\varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{curl} \phi$ as a test-function for (1.1b). On the right-hand side occurs an integral over $E \cdot \operatorname{curl} \phi$, which is identical to the integral over $\operatorname{curl} E \cdot \phi$ because of the boundary condition (1.1c). Replacing $\operatorname{curl} E$ with the expression of (1.1a), we find

$$(1.3) \quad \int_{\Omega} \{\varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{curl} H \cdot \operatorname{curl} \phi - \omega^2 \mu H \cdot \phi\} = \int_{\Omega} \{-i\omega f_h \cdot \phi + \varepsilon^{-1} f_e \cdot \operatorname{curl} \phi\} \quad \forall \phi ,$$

where the test-functions are all $\phi \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$. We choose (1.3) as the weak form of system (1.1).

Note that, once a solution H of (1.3) is found, E can be defined with formula (1.1b) and the pair (E, H) solves the coupled system. The tangential boundary condition $E \times \nu = 0$ on $\partial\Omega$ is satisfied in the form $E \in H_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$, where

$$(1.4) \quad H_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) := \left\{ u \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{curl} u \cdot \eta = \int_{\Omega} u \cdot \operatorname{curl} \eta \quad \forall \eta \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \right\} .$$

Our aim is to prove Theorem 1.1 with the help of the compactness result of Lemma 1.2 and with the Helmholtz decomposition of Lemma 1.3.

1.3. Tool I: Compactness. The following lemma is classical in the theory of spaces of functions with curl in L^2 .

Lemma 1.2 (Compactness). *Let Ω be a bounded Lipschitz domain and let $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega)$ be bounded below by some positive constant. Then the space*

$$(1.5) \quad Y := \left\{ u \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} \mu u \cdot \nabla\psi = 0 \text{ for all } \psi \in H^1(\Omega) \right\}$$

is compactly imbedded in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$.

Only standard methods are necessary to prove Theorem 1.1. In order to make that clear, we give a proof in Section 4. Proposition 4.1 in Section 4 is even more general in the sense that only the L^2 -control of the divergence of μu is demanded (and not that the divergence vanishes).

Comments on the literature regarding Lemma 1.2. The lemma is stated in [4, Lemma A.1] with our assumption on the coefficient. A very similar version is [3, Corollary 4.36], where positive definite symmetric matrix valued coefficients $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^{3 \times 3})$ are allowed. We remark that closely related results are also stated and proved for the subset of $H_0(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ in [3, Theorem 4.24] and [5, Theorem 4.7], the latter with additional regularity assumptions on the coefficient.

1.4. Tool II: Helmholtz decomposition. The space Y of (1.5) is complemented with the space of gradients,

$$(1.6) \quad G := \{u \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega) \mid \exists \psi \in H^1(\Omega): u = \nabla \psi\} .$$

The choice is such that Y is orthogonal to G in the space $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ with the weighted scalar product $\langle u, v \rangle = \int_{\Omega} \mu u \cdot \bar{v}$. Furthermore, since the (distributional) curl of a gradient always vanishes, $\operatorname{curl}(\nabla \psi) = 0$, the two subspaces are also orthogonal in $X := H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ with the scalar product $\langle u, v \rangle_X := \int_{\Omega} \{\mu u \cdot \bar{v} + \operatorname{curl} u \cdot \operatorname{curl} \bar{v}\}$. By construction, Y is the $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_X$ -orthogonal complement of G , we may write this as $Y = G^{\perp}$. We therefore have the following result:

Lemma 1.3 (Helmholtz decomposition). *The space $X := H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ has the orthogonal decomposition $X = Y \oplus G$. In particular, an arbitrary element $u \in X$ can be written uniquely as $u = v + \nabla \psi$ with $v \in Y$ and $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$.*

2. EXISTENCE PROOF WITH FREDHOLM OPERATOR THEORY

In our first existence proof, we re-formulate problem (1.3) with the Helmholtz decomposition. We seek solutions H of (1.3) in the space Y of (1.5). We recall that the condition $H \in Y$ encodes that the divergence of μH vanishes and that $H \cdot \nu$ vanishes along the boundary.

Lemma 2.1 (Equivalent formulation in Y). *The Maxwell system in the form (1.3) is equivalent to: Find $H \in Y$ with*

$$(2.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \{\varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{curl} H \cdot \operatorname{curl} \varphi - \omega^2 \mu H \cdot \varphi\} = \int_{\Omega} \{-i\omega f_h \cdot \varphi + \varepsilon^{-1} f_e \cdot \operatorname{curl} \varphi\} \quad \forall \varphi \in Y .$$

Proof. Let H be a solution of (1.3). For arbitrary $\psi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, we define $\varphi = \nabla \psi$; because of the distributional equality $\operatorname{curl} \nabla \psi = 0$, there holds $\varphi \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$. Using φ as a test-function in (1.3), we note that all terms except for $\int_{\Omega} \omega^2 \mu H \cdot \varphi$ vanish because of $\operatorname{curl} \varphi = 0$ and $\int_{\Omega} f_h \cdot \nabla \psi = 0$ for $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$. The result is that μH is orthogonal to gradients, i.e., $H \in Y$. Since the space of test-functions is smaller in (2.1) than in (1.3), this implies that (2.1) holds.

Vice versa, let H be a solution of (2.1). Given an arbitrary test-function $\phi \in X = H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$, we write $\phi = \varphi + \nabla \psi$ with $\varphi \in Y$ and $\psi \in H^1(\Omega)$, see Lemma 1.3. We use that (1.3) is linear in ϕ , we can treat all contributions separately. Inserting $\nabla \psi$ in (1.3), arguing as above and exploiting $H \in Y$, we find that all terms vanish. Inserting φ , the equality holds because of (2.1). This shows that (1.3) is satisfied for the test-function ϕ . Since ϕ was arbitrary, H is a solution of (1.3). \square

The re-formulation (2.1) is useful since Lemma 1.2 provides compactness of Y .

Proof of Theorem 1.1 with Fredholm operators. On Y , we define sesquilinear forms $a, b: Y \times Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a linear right-hand side $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by setting, for every $u, \phi \in Y$,

$$a(u, \phi) := \int_{\Omega} \{u \cdot \bar{\phi} + \varepsilon^{-1} \operatorname{curl} u \cdot \operatorname{curl} \bar{\phi}\} ,$$

$$b(u, \phi) := \int_{\Omega} \{u \cdot \bar{\phi} + \omega^2 \mu u \cdot \bar{\phi}\} , \quad f(\phi) := \int_{\Omega} \{-i\omega f_h \cdot \bar{\phi} + \varepsilon^{-1} f_e \cdot \operatorname{curl} \bar{\phi}\} .$$

Lemma 2.1 yields: The Maxwell system (1.3) is equivalent to: Find $H \in Y$ with

$$(2.2) \quad a(H, \varphi) - b(H, \varphi) = f(\varphi) \quad \forall \varphi \in Y.$$

This is the equation that we have to solve.

The sesquilinear form a defines a map $A: Y \rightarrow Y'$ from Y into the dual space Y' by $Au := a(u, \cdot)$. By definition of the scalar product in $Y \subset X = H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$, the form a is coercive on Y . The Lemma of Lax–Milgram implies that $A: Y \rightarrow Y'$ is invertible.

We now exploit that the embedding $\iota: Y \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ is compact, see Lemma 1.2. The multiplication map $B: u \mapsto (1 + \omega^2 \mu)u$ corresponding to b is linear and bounded as a map $B: L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$. We denote the concatenation with an embedding into Y' with the same letter, $B: L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \rightarrow Y'$.

The field $H \in Y$ solves (2.2) if and only if

$$AH - (B \circ \iota)H = f \quad \text{in } Y'.$$

Applying A^{-1} , we find the equivalent relation

$$(\text{id} - A^{-1} \circ B \circ \iota)H = A^{-1}f \quad \text{in } Y.$$

The operator $A^{-1} \circ B \circ \iota$ is compact, since ι is compact and the other operators are continuous. This implies that the operator $F := \text{id} - A^{-1} \circ B \circ \iota$ is a Fredholm operator of index zero, see [1, Theorem 11.8].

One assumption in Theorem 1.1 is that the homogeneous system has only the trivial solution. Since all our re-formulations of the Maxwell system are equivalent, this implies that $F = \text{id} - A^{-1} \circ B \circ \iota$ is injective. Since the index is zero, the injectivity implies the surjectivity. Surjectivity of F implies that for every right-hand side there exists a solution of (2.2). Since the formulations are equivalent, we have also found a solution of (1.1) and Theorem 1.1 is proved \square

3. EXISTENCE PROOF WITH A LIMITING ABSORPTION PRINCIPLE

In this section, we prove Theorem 1.1 with a limiting absorption principle. A limiting absorption principle considers the equation with a damping term, which is sent to zero. In this text, we use a small constant $\delta > 0$ and replace the pre-factor $\omega^2 \mu$ in equation (1.3) by $\omega^2 \mu - i\delta$. This equation has a solution H_δ . We find a limit $H = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} H_\delta$, which is a solution of (1.3).

In the first step, we seek a solution $H_\delta \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ of

$$(3.1) \quad \int_{\Omega} \{ \varepsilon^{-1} \text{curl} H_\delta \cdot \text{curl} \phi - (\omega^2 \mu - i\delta) H_\delta \cdot \phi \} = \int_{\Omega} \{ -i\omega f_h \cdot \phi + \varepsilon^{-1} f_e \cdot \text{curl} \phi \} \quad \forall \phi,$$

where the test-functions are arbitrary functions $\phi \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1 with limiting absorption. Lemma 3.1 provides a unique solution H_δ of (3.1). Lemma 3.2 shows that the sequence H_δ is bounded in $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ (in the setting of Theorem 1.1, where it is assumed that (1.3) has only the trivial solution for $f_h = f_e = 0$). Since $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ is reflexive, there exists a subsequence of H_δ , which converges weakly to some limit $H \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$. The weak convergence allows us to perform the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (3.1) along the subsequence. We obtain that H solves (1.3). \square

Lemma 3.1 (Existence of a solution for the problem with absorption). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain and $\omega \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$ a frequency. Let $\varepsilon \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mu \in L^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$ be coefficient functions such that, for some $c_0 > 0$, there holds $\varepsilon \geq c_0$ and $\mu \geq c_0$. Then there exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that, for every $\delta \in (0, \delta_0)$, equation (3.1) has a unique weak solution $H_\delta \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$.*

Proof. We define the bilinear form a_δ on $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ by setting, for $u, \varphi \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$,

$$a_\delta(u, \varphi) := \int_{\Omega} \{ \varepsilon^{-1} \text{curl } u \cdot \text{curl } \bar{\varphi} + (i\delta - \omega^2 \mu) u \cdot \bar{\varphi} \} .$$

The form a_δ allows us to rewrite (3.1) as

$$(3.2) \quad a_\delta(H_\delta, \phi) = \int_{\Omega} \{ -i\omega f_h \cdot \bar{\phi} + \varepsilon^{-1} f_e \cdot \text{curl } \bar{\phi} \} \quad \forall \phi \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega) .$$

We calculate, for arbitrary $u \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$,

$$\text{Im } a_\delta(u, u) = \delta \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ,$$

$$\text{Re } a_\delta(u, u) \geq \text{ess sup}(\varepsilon)^{-1} \|\text{curl } u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \omega^2 \text{ess sup}(\mu) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 .$$

We observe the following fact in $\mathbb{R}^2 \equiv \mathbb{C}$: For every vector $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \mathbb{R}^2$ and every $s \in [0, 1]$, there holds $|x| \geq \max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\} \geq (1-s)|x_1| + s|x_2|$. This inequality allows to calculate, with $s = \delta^2$,

$$\begin{aligned} |a_\delta(u, u)| &\geq (1 - \delta^2) \text{Im } a_\delta(u, u) + \delta^2 \text{Re } a_\delta(u, u) \\ &\geq (1 - \delta^2) \delta \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + \delta^2 \left(\text{ess sup}(\varepsilon)^{-1} \|\text{curl } u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 - \omega^2 \text{ess sup}(\mu) \|u\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \right) . \end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\delta_0 > 0$ small, we achieve $(1 - \delta^2)\delta \geq 2\delta^2\omega^2 \text{ess sup}(\mu)$ for all $\delta < \delta_0$, and obtain that a_δ is coercive. Problem (3.2) for H_δ can therefore be solved with the Lemma of Lax–Milgram. \square

Lemma 3.2 (Boundedness of solutions to the problem with absorption). *Let the assumptions of Lemma 3.1 be satisfied. For a sequence $\delta \rightarrow 0$, let $H_\delta \in H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$ be the corresponding sequence of solutions of (3.1). Suppose that (1.3) for $f_h = f_e = 0$ has only the trivial solution $H = 0$. Then, H_δ is bounded in $H(\text{curl}, \Omega)$.*

Proof. Step 1: Preparation. For a contradiction argument, we assume that there exists a subsequence H_δ such that $\|H_\delta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$. We use H_δ as a test-function in (3.2) and use the upper bound $C_\varepsilon := \text{ess sup}(\varepsilon)$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} C_\varepsilon^{-1} \|\text{curl } H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 &\leq \int_{\Omega} \varepsilon^{-1} \text{curl } H_\delta \cdot \text{curl } \bar{H}_\delta \\ &= \int_{\Omega} -(i\delta - \omega^2 \mu) H_\delta \cdot \bar{H}_\delta + \int_{\Omega} \{ -i\omega f_h \cdot \bar{H}_\delta + \varepsilon^{-1} f_e \cdot \text{curl } \bar{H}_\delta \} . \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary $\lambda > 0$, we continue this calculation with Young's inequality and find

$$C_\varepsilon^{-1} \|\text{curl } H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C \|H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 + C_\lambda + \lambda \|\text{curl } H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 ,$$

for some C depending on ω , f_h and μ , and C_λ depending on ε , f_e and λ . Choosing $\lambda = C_\varepsilon^{-1}/2$ and subtracting the term $\lambda \|\text{curl } H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ on both sides, we find, for some constant C , the inequality $\|\text{curl } H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq C (1 + \|H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2)$.

In particular: The divergence $\|H_\delta\|_{H(\text{curl}, \Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$ implies $\|H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow \infty$.

Step 2: Normalization. We normalize the sequence H_δ and consider the new sequence $\tilde{H}_\delta := H_\delta / \|H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)}$. This sequence satisfies

$$(3.3) \quad a_\delta(\tilde{H}_\delta, \phi) = \|H_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)}^{-1} \int_{\Omega} \{-i\omega f_h \cdot \bar{\phi} + \varepsilon^{-1} f_e \cdot \operatorname{curl} \bar{\phi}\} \quad \forall \phi \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega).$$

The result of Step 1 together with $\|\tilde{H}_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)} = 1$ shows that $\|\operatorname{curl} \tilde{H}_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2$ is bounded.

Since $H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$ is reflexive, there exists a subsequence of \tilde{H}_δ , which converges weakly to some limit $\tilde{H} \in H(\operatorname{curl}, \Omega)$, in particular $\tilde{H}_\delta \rightharpoonup \tilde{H}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$ and $\operatorname{curl} \tilde{H}_\delta \rightharpoonup \operatorname{curl} \tilde{H}$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. The weak convergence allows us to perform the limit $\delta \rightarrow 0$ in (3.3) along the subsequence. We obtain that the limit \tilde{H} solves (1.3) for $f_h = f_e = 0$. Our assumption was that there is no non-trivial solution to the homogeneous problem; this implies $\tilde{H} = 0$.

Step 3: Strong convergence. In this step we show the strong convergence of \tilde{H}_δ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ along a subsequence. Once this is obtained, we have the desired contradiction: $\|\tilde{H}_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ is in conflict with the strong convergence $\tilde{H}_\delta \rightarrow \tilde{H} = 0$.

In order to show the strong convergence, we decompose \tilde{H}_δ by means of the Helmholtz decomposition of Lemma 1.3: $\tilde{H}_\delta = \tilde{H}_\delta^Y + \nabla\psi_\delta$ for $\tilde{H}_\delta^Y \in Y$ and $\psi_\delta \in H^1(\Omega)$. The compactness of Y shown in Lemma 1.2 allows us to pass to a subsequence such that \tilde{H}_δ^Y converges strongly in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$.

Let us use the test-function $\phi = \nabla\bar{\psi}_\delta$ in (3.1). Since the curl of a gradient vanishes and since we assumed that f_h is orthogonal to gradients, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} (\mu - i\omega^{-2}\delta) \tilde{H}_\delta \cdot \nabla\bar{\psi}_\delta = 0.$$

Inserting the decomposition $\tilde{H}_\delta = \tilde{H}_\delta^Y + \nabla\psi_\delta$, we find

$$(3.4) \quad i \int_{\Omega} \omega^{-2}\delta \tilde{H}_\delta \cdot \nabla\bar{\psi}_\delta - \int_{\Omega} \mu |\nabla\psi_\delta|^2 = \int_{\Omega} \mu \tilde{H}_\delta^Y \cdot \nabla\bar{\psi}_\delta = 0,$$

where we used the property $\tilde{H}_\delta^Y \in Y$ in the last equality. The lower bound $\mu \geq c_0 > 0$ allows us to obtain from (3.4)

$$c_0 \|\nabla\psi_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \int_{\Omega} \mu |\nabla\psi_\delta|^2 = i \int_{\Omega} \omega^{-2}\delta \tilde{H}_\delta \cdot \nabla\bar{\psi}_\delta.$$

The Cauchy-Schwarz inequality and the normalization $\|\tilde{H}_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = 1$ imply

$$c_0 \|\nabla\psi_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}^2 \leq \delta \omega^{-2} \|\tilde{H}_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \|\nabla\psi_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} = \delta \omega^{-2} \|\nabla\psi_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}.$$

Dividing by $\|\nabla\psi_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)}$ if this term is different from zero, we find $\|\nabla\psi_\delta\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \rightarrow 0$ as $\delta \rightarrow 0$. This is the desired strong convergence of $\nabla\psi_\delta$.

The strong convergence of \tilde{H}_δ^Y together with the strong convergence of $\nabla\psi_\delta$ implies the strong convergence of $\tilde{H}_\delta = \tilde{H}_\delta^Y + \nabla\psi_\delta$ in $L^2(\Omega)$. This provides the desired contradiction and concludes the proof. \square

4. COMPACTNESS PROPERTY

We include a proof for the compactness result in order to have this exposition self-contained. The compactness has some relations with the div-curl lemma, sometimes called compensated compactness. Knowledge on the curl and on the divergence of a

function somehow controls all derivatives. Loosely speaking, this property is already suggested by the relation $\Delta = -\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} + \nabla \operatorname{div}$.

Proposition 4.1 (Compactness when rotation and divergence are bounded in L^2). *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ be a bounded Lipschitz domain, let $u_j \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ be a sequence such that the distributional curl and the distributional divergence are bounded in L^2 ,*

$$(4.1) \quad \operatorname{curl} u_j =: f_j \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3) \text{ bounded,}$$

$$(4.2) \quad \operatorname{div} u_j =: g_j \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}) \text{ bounded.}$$

Furthermore, we demand vanishing normal boundary values of u_j by imposing $\int_{\Omega} u_j \cdot \nabla \varphi = -\int_{\Omega} g_j \varphi$ for all $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$. Then, there exists a subsequence $j \rightarrow \infty$ and a limit function $u \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ such that $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^3)$ along this subsequence.

Proof. We first prove the Proposition in Steps 1 to 3 under the assumption that Ω is simply connected. The assumption of simple connectedness is removed in Step 4. The bounded set Ω is contained in a large ball. For a radius $R > 0$ we start our proof with $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \Omega := B_R(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. Later on, we will choose a smaller open bounded set $\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$.

Step 1: A vector potential for f_j . The divergence of u_j is trivially extended, we define $\tilde{g}_j \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega})$ as $\tilde{g}_j|_{\Omega} = g_j$ and $\tilde{g}_j|_{\tilde{\Omega} \setminus \Omega} = 0$. The extension \tilde{f}_j of f_j (with vanishing divergence in Ω) is chosen such that $\operatorname{div} \tilde{f}_j$ vanishes in $\tilde{\Omega}$; we use here Lemma 4.2 and choose a smaller set $\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}$ containing $\tilde{\Omega}$, if necessary.

As a function with vanishing divergence, \tilde{f}_j can be written with a vector potential $\tilde{w}_j \in L^2(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \mathbb{C}^3)$ on the larger domain $\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}$,

$$(4.3) \quad \tilde{f}_j = \operatorname{curl} \tilde{w}_j.$$

The existence of such a vector potential is classical theory, \tilde{w}_j can be constructed with the help of path integrals over the components of \tilde{f}_j , see [2] and [6] for modern references.

Step 2: Modification of the vector potential \tilde{w}_j . We want to modify the vector potential such that it is divergence-free. We find such a modified potential in the form $\tilde{W}_j = \tilde{w}_j - \nabla \tilde{\psi}_j$ where $\tilde{\psi}_j$ solves

$$\Delta \tilde{\psi}_j = \operatorname{div} \tilde{w}_j$$

in $\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}$ (with homogeneous Dirichlet conditions on $\partial \tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}$) so that $\operatorname{div}(\tilde{W}_j) = 0$. Since \tilde{w}_j is bounded in $L^2(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}})$, we find $\tilde{\psi}_j$ bounded in $H^1(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}})$. The result is a bounded sequence $\tilde{W}_j \in L^2(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \mathbb{C}^3)$ with the (distributional) rotation \tilde{f}_j and vanishing divergence. We note that, because of $\Delta = -\operatorname{curl} \operatorname{curl} + \nabla \operatorname{div}$, the function $\tilde{W}_j \in H^1(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}, \mathbb{C}^3)$ satisfies, in the sense of distributions, $\Delta \tilde{W}_j = -\operatorname{curl} \tilde{f}_j \in H^{-1}(\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}})$. The sequence \tilde{W}_j is therefore locally of class H^1 . By possibly choosing a smaller open set $\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}}$ (still containing $\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}$), we achieve that the sequence $\tilde{W}_j \in H^1(\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}})$ is bounded (Caccioppoli's inequality). The restriction $W_j := \tilde{W}_j|_{\Omega}$ has the rotation f_j and vanishing divergence.

Since the sequence is bounded in $H^1(\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}}, \mathbb{C}^3)$, there exists a subsequence with strong convergence of \tilde{W}_j in $L^2(\tilde{\tilde{\tilde{\Omega}}}, \mathbb{C}^3)$. We consider only this subsequence in the following.

Step 3: Construction of a scalar potential. We consider the function $v_j := u_j - W_j \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ with vanishing rotation. Since Ω is simply connected, the function has a scalar potential ϕ_j ,

$$v_j = \nabla \phi_j.$$

We have therefore written the original sequence as $u_j = W_j + \nabla\phi_j$. Since W_j converges strongly, the lemma is proven when we show that $\nabla\phi_j$ converges strongly in L^2 along a subsequence.

With the normal vector ν on $\partial\Omega$, the function ϕ_j is a solution of

$$\Delta\phi_j = g_j \text{ in } \Omega, \quad \nu \cdot \nabla\phi_j = -\nu \cdot W_j \text{ on } \partial\Omega,$$

where we used $\nu \cdot u_j = 0$ on the boundary. This must be understood in the weak form: The potential ϕ_j is a solution of the problem

$$(4.4) \quad \int_{\Omega} \nabla\phi_j \cdot \nabla\varphi = - \int_{\Omega} g_j \varphi + \int_{\partial\Omega} W_j \varphi$$

for every $\varphi \in H^1(\Omega)$. To have uniqueness of solutions, we demand that the integral $\int_{\Omega} \phi_j = 0$ and define $H_*^1(\Omega)$ as the space of $H^1(\Omega)$ -functions satisfying this condition. The integrability condition on g_j and W_j is that the right-hand side of (4.4) vanishes for constant functions φ . This condition is satisfied in our setting because of $\operatorname{div} W_j = 0$ and the assumption on u_j in the lemma.

The theorem of Lax–Milgram can be applied, the solution operator to this problem, $\mathcal{T}_0: (g_j, W_j) \mapsto \phi_j$, is a bounded linear operator $\mathcal{T}_0: (H^1(\Omega))' \times L^2(\partial\Omega) \rightarrow H_*^1(\Omega)$ (we suppress here that the argument must satisfy the integrability condition).

Additionally, we have the compactness of the embedding $L^2(\Omega) \subset (H^1(\Omega))'$ and the compactness of the trace operator $H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow L^2(\partial\Omega)$. This shows that the solution operator as a map $\mathcal{T}_1: L^2(\Omega) \times H^1(\Omega) \rightarrow H_*^1(\Omega)$ is compact. Since g_j and W_j are bounded in the left spaces, we conclude that $\phi_j = \mathcal{T}_1(g_j, W_j)$ has a convergent subsequence in $H^1(\Omega)$. This shows that $\nabla\phi_j$ has a convergent subsequence in $L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^3)$ and concludes the proof for simply connected domains.

Step 4: Removing the assumption of simple connectedness. Let now Ω be an arbitrary bounded Lipschitz domain. We choose a finite family of simply connected Lipschitz subdomains $\Omega_k \subset \Omega$, $k = 1, \dots, K$ that cover Ω . We choose a subordinate family of smooth cut-off functions η_k . The lemma is then applied in each subdomain Ω_k to the sequence $u_j \eta_k$. \square

The task in the subsequent lemma is to extend the function F to a function \tilde{F} such that the normal component of $\tilde{F} \cdot \nu$ has no jump on $\partial\Omega$.

Lemma 4.2 (Extension with bounded divergence). *Let Ω and $\tilde{\Omega}$ be Lipschitz domains in \mathbb{R}^n with $\bar{\Omega} \subset \tilde{\Omega}$. Let $F \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R}^n)$ be a function with a distributional divergence $\rho = \operatorname{div}(F) \in L^2(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$. Then, there exists an extension $\tilde{F} \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R}^n)$ such that the distributional divergence $\tilde{\rho} = \operatorname{div}(\tilde{F})$ satisfies $\tilde{\rho} \in L^2(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$. One can choose \tilde{F} such that the function $\tilde{\rho}$ coincides with the trivial extension of ρ in a neighborhood of $\bar{\Omega}$.*

Proof. Since the problem can be localized, it suffices to consider the case that $\Sigma := \tilde{\Omega} \setminus \bar{\Omega}$ is a domain with the two boundary components $\Gamma := \partial\tilde{\Omega}$ and $\partial\Omega$.

We must construct \tilde{F} on the exterior domain Σ . This proof uses an extension operator for functions $\varphi \in H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$. We use the fact that there exists a bounded extension operator $\mathcal{E}: H^1(\Sigma, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow H^1(\tilde{\Omega}, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\hat{\varphi} := \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$ satisfies $\hat{\varphi}|_{\Sigma} = \varphi$.

With the extension operator \mathcal{E} we define $p \in H_1^1(\Sigma)$ as the solution of

$$(4.5) \quad \int_{\Sigma} \nabla p \cdot \nabla\varphi = - \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \nabla\mathcal{E}(\varphi) - \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathcal{E}(\varphi)$$

for all $\varphi \in H^1_\Gamma(\Sigma)$, where $H^1_\Gamma(\Sigma)$ is the space of H^1 -functions on Σ that vanish on Γ . This problem can be solved with $p \in H^1_\Gamma(\Sigma)$ with the lemma of Lax–Milgram since the right-hand side defines a continuous linear form on $H^1_\Gamma(\Sigma)$. We set

$$\tilde{F}(x) := \begin{cases} F(x) & \text{for } x \in \Omega, \\ \nabla p(x) & \text{for } x \in \Sigma. \end{cases}$$

It remains to calculate the divergence of \tilde{F} in $\tilde{\Omega}$. For an arbitrary test-function $\tilde{\psi} \in H^1(\tilde{\Omega})$ and its restriction $\psi := \tilde{\psi}|_\Sigma \in H^1(\Sigma)$ we calculate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\tilde{\Omega}} \tilde{F} \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi} &= \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi} + \int_{\Sigma} \nabla p \cdot \nabla \psi \\ &\stackrel{(4.5)}{=} \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \nabla \tilde{\psi} - \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \nabla \mathcal{E}(\psi) - \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathcal{E}(\psi) \\ &= \int_{\Omega} F \cdot \nabla [\tilde{\psi} - \mathcal{E}(\psi)] - \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathcal{E}(\psi) \\ &= - \int_{\Omega} \rho [\tilde{\psi} - \mathcal{E}(\psi)] - \int_{\Omega} \rho \mathcal{E}(\psi) = - \int_{\Omega} \rho \tilde{\psi}. \end{aligned}$$

This verifies that the divergence of \tilde{F} coincides with the trivial extension of ρ . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] H. W. Alt. *Linear functional analysis. An application-oriented introduction*. Universitext. Springer-Verlag London, Ltd., London, 2016. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4471-7280-2>.
- [2] C. Amrouche, P. G. Ciarlet and P. Ciarlet, Jr. Weak vector and scalar potentials: applications to Poincaré’s theorem and Korn’s inequality in Sobolev spaces with negative exponents. *Anal. Appl. (Singap.)*, 8(1):1–17, 2010. <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219530510001497>.
- [3] A. Kirsch and F. Hettlich. *The mathematical theory of time-harmonic Maxwell’s equations. Expansion-, integral-, and variational methods*, volume 190 of *Applied Mathematical Sciences*. Springer, Cham, 2015. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-11086-8>.
- [4] A. Kirsch and B. Schweizer. Time harmonic Maxwell’s equations in periodic waveguides. Technical report, 2024. <http://www.mathematik.tu-dortmund.de/lisi/schweizer/Preprints/Closed-WG-Maxwell-Revision.pdf>.
- [5] P. Monk. *Finite element methods for Maxwell’s equations*. Numerical Mathematics and Scientific Computation. Oxford University Press, New York, 2003. <https://doi.org/10.1093/acprof:oso/9780198508885.001.0001>.
- [6] T. Schubert, B. Schweizer and D. Wiedemann. Kurzeinführung in die Vektoranalysis. Technical report, 2024. <https://analysis.mathematik.tu-dortmund.de/storages/analysis-mathematik/r/dokumente/Kurzskripte/Kurzskript-Potentiale-20241010.pdf>.