Waves in unbounded photonic crystals and transmission properties at interfaces

Ben Schweizer



August 8, 2017

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Geometric optics vs. Wave equation



Fermat's principle of **the fastest path:**

Light finds the fastest way to reach the destination!

$\sin \Theta_1$	v_1	_	n_2
$\sin \Theta_2$	$\overline{v_2}$	_	$\overline{n_1}$





Huygens' principle of **superpositions**

Wave equation
$$\partial_t^2 u = \Delta u$$



Numerical solution

Maxwell's equations and negatve index

Maxwell's Equations (1865)

 $\operatorname{curl} E = -i\omega\mu H$ $\operatorname{curl} H = -i\omega\varepsilon E$

E: electric field, H: magnetic field

$H,E\sim e^{-i\omega t}$

- $\operatorname{Re} \varepsilon < 0$ possible
- $\bullet~\mu$ is always 1
- $\operatorname{Re} \mu \varepsilon < 0$: medium is opaque



Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Veselago (1968)

Materials with negative index

 $\varepsilon < 0$ and $\mu < 0 \Rightarrow$ negative index!





Solutions for positive and negative index

Pendry et al. (\sim 2000)

Design of a negative index meta-material Use split rings and wires

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Microscopic geometry with wires



 (H^η, E^η) solves Maxwell, $(H^\eta, E^\eta) \to (\hat{H}, \hat{E})$ "geometrically"

Effective Maxwell system (A.Lamacz & B.S., SIAM J.Math.Anal. 2017)

$$\operatorname{curl} \hat{E} = i\omega\mu_{\text{eff}}\,\hat{H}$$
$$\operatorname{curl} \hat{H} = -i\omega\varepsilon_{\text{eff}}\,\hat{E}$$

with negative (for appropriate geometry and $\operatorname{Re}(\varepsilon_w) < 0$) coefficients

 $\mu_{\text{eff}} = \mu_{\text{eff},\text{R}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{eff}} = \varepsilon_{\text{eff},\text{R}} + \pi \gamma^2 \varepsilon_W.$

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Wave transmission into photonic crystals

Our motivation:



Image taken from

C. Luo, S. G. Johnson, J. D. Joannopoulos, and

J. B. Pendry. All-angle negative refraction

without negative effective index. Phys. Rev.

B, 65:201104, May 2002

Is this negative refraction at a photonic crystal?



Geometry of the transmission problem.

We study the waves that are generated in the photonic crystal.

Helmholtz equation:

$$-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla u) = \omega^2 u$$

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Bloch expansion: Write an arbitrary function f in a smart way!

1.) $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}$ is written with a Fourier transform:

2.) ξ is written as $\xi = k + j$ with $k \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $j \in [0, 1)^n =: Z$

$$f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{2\pi \mathrm{i} \xi \cdot x} \, d\xi$$

$$f(x) = \int_{Z} \underbrace{\sum_{k} \hat{f}(k+j) e^{2\pi i k \cdot x}}_{=:F} e^{2\pi i j \cdot x} dj$$

3.) Periodic F = F(x; j) is expanded in periodic eigenfunctions $\Psi_{j,m}(x)$:

$$F(x;j) = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{j,m} \Psi_{j,m}(x) \qquad \qquad U_{j,m}(x) := \Psi_{j,m}(x) e^{2\pi i j \cdot x} \text{ solves} \\ -\nabla \cdot (a(x) \nabla U_{j,m}(x)) = \mu_{j,m} U_{j,m}(x)$$

Result: The operator $L = -\nabla \cdot (a(.)\nabla)$ acts as a multiplier:

$$f(x) = \int_Z \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{j,m} U_{j,m}(x) \, dj, \qquad Lf = \int_Z \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \alpha_{j,m} \mu_{j,m} U_{j,m}(x) \, dj$$

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Energy landscape in the periodic medium



The three surfaces correspond to m = 0, 1, 2. The vertical axis shows $\sqrt{\mu_{j,m}}$, where $\mu_{j,m}$ is the *m*-th eigenvalue for the wave vector $j = (j_1, j_2)$.

The arrows show gradients of the energy landscape

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Energy transport by Bloch waves

For $\lambda = (j, m)$, which Bloch wave U_{λ} is "right going"?

Recall for Maxwell: The Poynting vector $P := E \times H$ measures the energy flux

Poynting number

The Poynting number P_{λ} describes the right-going energy:

$$P_{\lambda} := \operatorname{Im} \int_{Y_{\varepsilon}} \bar{U}_{\lambda}(x) e_1 \cdot [a(x)\nabla U_{\lambda}(x)] \, dx$$

Index sets: Left-going waves and "vertical waves"

$$I_{<0} := \{\lambda \in I \mid P_{\lambda} < 0\} \quad \text{ and } \quad I_{=0} := \{\lambda \in I \mid P_{\lambda} = 0\}$$

Projection: Onto left-going waves

$$\Pi_{<0}u(x) := \sum_{\lambda \in I_{<0}} \alpha_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}(x)$$

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions

Radiation for homogeneous media: Sommerfeld, 1912

Homogeneous problem $-\Delta u = \omega^2 u$ in \mathbb{R}^n

Fundamental solutions

Two fundamental Helmholtz solutions for $x \in \mathbb{R}^3$:

$$u_+(x) := \frac{1}{|x|} e^{i\omega |x|} \quad \text{ and } \quad u_-(x) := \frac{1}{|x|} e^{-i\omega |x|}$$

Time-dependence $e^{-i\omega t}$ implies: u_+ is an outgoing wave, u_- an incoming wave.

Sommerfeld condition

$$|x|^{(n-1)/2}(\partial_{|x|}u - i\omega u)(x) o 0$$
 as $|x| o \infty$



Both elementary solutions decay for $|x| \to \infty$. It is not reasonable to demand only a decay property

- u_+ satisfies the Sommerfeld condition
- u_{-} does not

Justification (Sommerfeld): Radiation condition implies uniqueness

Expansion of solutions

Refraction Bloch waves Radiation conditions



We consider u only on the marked square

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{After a shift:} \\ u \in L^2((0,R\varepsilon)\times(0,R\varepsilon)) \end{array}$

Wave-vector: $j \in Z := [0,1)^2$. Eigenvalue number: $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ Multiindex: $\lambda = (j,m) \in I_K$. Basis: $U_{\lambda}^+(x) := \Psi_{\lambda}^+(x) e^{2\pi i \theta(\lambda) \cdot x/\varepsilon}$

$$u(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in I_K} \alpha_{\lambda}^+ U_{\lambda}^+(x)$$

Expansion of an arbitrary function u in Bloch waves

For "outgoing solutions" we demand (on the right):

u consists only of right-going Bloch modes

S. Fliss and P. Joly. Solutions of the time-harmonic wave equation in periodic waveguides: asymptotic behaviour and radiation condition. Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal., 219, 2016

Dutgoing wave condition Bloch measures and uniqueness dea of the proof

Our wish-list

Transmission problem

 \boldsymbol{a} constant on the left, periodic on the right

Helmholtz equation: $-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla u) = \omega^2 u$, periodic in vertical direction

Outgoing wave conditions, on the right:

$$\int_{RY_{\varepsilon}} \left| \Pi_{<0}^+(u_R^+) \right|^2 \to 0 \quad \text{ as } \quad R \to \infty$$

Wishful thinking: For *every* frequency $\omega > 0$

- There exists a solution to the problem
- The solution to the problem is unique

Uniqueness cannot be expected

There are surface-waves \longrightarrow no uniqueness!



S. Bozhevolnyi/Aalborg Univ.

Bloch measures

G. Allaire and C. Conca. Bloch wave homogenization and spectral asymptotic analysis. J. Math. Pures Appl. 1998

Let $u_R \in L^2(W_R; \mathbb{C})$ be a sequence

$$u_R(x) = \sum_{\lambda \in I_R} \alpha_\lambda^{\pm} U_\lambda^{\pm}(x)$$

Discrete Bloch-measure for fixed $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$:

$$\nu_{l,R}^{\pm} := \sum_{\lambda = (j,l) \in I_R} |\alpha_{\lambda}^{\pm}|^2 \ \delta_j$$

where δ_j denotes the Dirac measure in $j \in Z$. If, as $R \to \infty$,

$$\nu_{l,R}^{\pm} \to \nu_{l,\infty}^{\pm}$$

in the sense of measures, then

$$u_{l,\infty}^{\pm} \in \mathcal{M}(Z)$$
 is a Bloch measure generated by u

The Brillouin zone $Z = [0, 1)^2$. A periodic u is expanded with

discrete values of $j \in Z$.



Uniqueness result

Outgoing wave condition Bloch measures and uniqueness Idea of the proof

Frequency assumption with Bloch-eigenvalues $\mu_m^{\pm}(j)$:

$$\omega^2 < \inf_{j \in Z, \, m \ge 1} \, \mu_m^+(j)$$

Theorem (A.Lamacz & B.S., Uniqueness)

Let u and \tilde{u} be two solutions of the transmission problem. Then the difference $v := u - \tilde{u}$ generates a Bloch measure that has support only on vertical waves.

Interpretation: Waves can be localized at the interface or travelling vertically in the photonic crystal

Figure: The indices $j \in Z$ corresponding to "vertical waves"

Outgoing wave condition Bloch measures and uniqueness Idea of the proof

Uniqueness follows from energy conservation

Let v solve the Helmholtz equation with coefficients $a=a^{\varepsilon}.$ Use

$$\vartheta(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x_1| \leq \varepsilon R \\ 2 - \frac{|x_1|}{\varepsilon R} & \text{if } \varepsilon R < |x_1| < 2\varepsilon R \\ 0 & \text{if } |x_1| \geq 2\varepsilon R \end{cases}$$

and the test-function $\vartheta(x)\,\overline{v}(x)$ to obtain

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{h} \left\{ a^{\varepsilon} \, \vartheta \, \left| \nabla v \right|^{2} + a^{\varepsilon} \, \partial_{x_{1}} \vartheta \, \, \overline{v} \, \, \partial_{x_{1}} v \right\} = \omega^{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \int_{0}^{h} \vartheta \, |v|^{2}$$

Poynting vector bilinear form $b_R^{\pm}: L^2(W_R; \mathbb{C}) \times H^1(W_R; \mathbb{C}) \to \mathbb{C}$:

$$b_R^+(u,v) := \oint_{W_R} \bar{u}(x) e_1 \cdot [a^{\varepsilon}(x)\nabla v(x)] dx$$

Take the imaginary parts and obtain the energy conservation

$$\operatorname{Im} b_R^-\left(v_R^-, v_R^-\right) = \operatorname{Im} b_R^+\left(v_R^+, v_R^+\right)$$

Result: If both terms have opposite sign, they must vanish!

Outgoing wave condition Bloch measures and uniqueness Idea of the proof

Show $u_{l,\infty}^{\pm} = 0$ for $l \geq 1$

δ

Let $\delta>0$ be a number with $\delta\leq |\omega^2-\mu_{(j,m)}|^2$ for all j and $m\geq 1.$ Then, formally,

$$\begin{split} & \int_{W_R} \left| \Pi_{m \ge 1}^{\text{ev},+}(u_R^+) \right|^2 = \delta \sum_{\substack{\lambda = (j,m) \in I_R \\ m \ge 1}} \left| \langle u_R^+, U_\lambda \rangle_R \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{\substack{\lambda = (j,m) \in I_R \\ m \ge 1}} \left| (\omega^2 - \mu_\lambda) \langle u_R^+, U_\lambda \rangle_R \right|^2 \\ & \leq \sum_{\lambda \in I_R} \left| \langle \omega^2 u_R^+, U_\lambda \rangle_R - \langle \mu_\lambda u_R^+, U_\lambda \rangle_R \right|^2 \\ & = \sum_{\lambda \in I_R} \left| \langle \mathcal{L}_0(u_R^+), U_\lambda \rangle_R - \langle \mu_\lambda u_R^+, U_\lambda \rangle_R \right|^2 = 0 \end{split}$$

The calculation can be made precise with cut-off functions on large squares. **Result for Bloch measure:** $\nu_{l,\infty}^{\pm} = 0$ for $l \ge 1$ A similar calculation yields: $\operatorname{supp}(\nu_{0,\infty}^{\pm}) \subset \{j \in Z \mid \mu_0^{\pm}(j) = \omega^2\}$

Transmission conditions

Outgoing wave condition Bloch measures and uniqueness Idea of the proof

Assume again: Frequency below second band The vertical wave number is conserved:

Theorem (Transmission conditions)

Let u be a solution of the transmission problem. Let $\nu_{l,\infty}^\pm$ be a Bloch measure to u.

Then:
$$\nu_{l,\infty}^{\pm} = 0$$
 for $l \ge 1$,

$$\operatorname{supp}(\nu_{0,\infty}^{\pm}) \subset \left\{ j \in Z \,|\, \mu_0^{\pm}(j) = \omega^2 \right\}$$

and

$$\operatorname{supp}(\nu_{0,\infty}^{\pm}) \subset \{ j \in Z \, | \, j_2 = k_2 \} \cup J_{=0,0}^{\pm}$$



The theorem follows from uniqueness: Compare \boldsymbol{u} with its projection to the vertical wave number k_2

A numerical scheme

The scheme Comparison with homogenization A radiating source

Based on the radiation condition \longrightarrow numerical scheme

T. Dohnal and B. Schweizer: A Bloch wave numerical scheme for scattering problems in periodic wave-guides (submitted)

$$-\nabla \cdot (a\nabla u) = \omega^2 (1 + \mathrm{i}\delta)u + f$$



Concept:

 At the far left/right: Solution is a linear combination of outgoing Bloch waves

• Standard finite elements in the core domain

The scheme Comparison with homogenization A radiating source

Design of the scheme, negative refraction

In the radiation boxes $W_{R,L}^{\pm}$ use $X^{\pm} := span\{U_{\lambda}^{\pm} \mid \lambda \in I^{\pm}\}$, The index sets I^{\pm} satisfy $\lambda \in I^{\pm} \Rightarrow \pm P_{\lambda}^{\pm} > 0$.

Function space:

$$V := \left\{ u \in H^1(\Omega_{R+L}) \mid u \text{ vertically periodic, } \{u\}_{R,L}^+ \in X^+, \{u\}_{R,L}^- \in X^- \right\}$$

Bilinear form (with cut-off function ϑ as above):

$$\begin{split} \beta(u,v) &:= \int_{\Omega_{R+L}} a \nabla \bar{u} \cdot \nabla v \,\vartheta - \int_{\Omega_{R+L}} (1 - \mathrm{i} \delta \mathbf{1}_{\Omega_R}) \,\omega^2 \bar{u} \,v \,\vartheta \\ &- \frac{1}{\varepsilon L} \int_{W_{R,L}^+} a \nabla \bar{u} \cdot e_1 \,v + \frac{1}{\varepsilon L} \int_{W_{R,L}^-} a \nabla \bar{u} \cdot e_1 \,v = \int_{\Omega_R} \bar{f} \,v \end{split}$$

Coercivity of β follows from $\nabla \vartheta = \mp \frac{1}{L} e_1$ and $P_{\lambda}^{\pm} > 0$.

The scheme Comparison with homogenization A radiating source

Numerical results: Comparison with homogenization

Transmission into periodic medium I: Large wave-length (a) Re(u) for $k^{(in)} \approx (0.440, 0.449), \omega_0 = 0.2\pi$ (b) $\text{Re}(u_{\text{hom}})$ for $k^{(\text{in})} \approx (0.440, 0.449), \omega_0 = 0.2\pi$ ន៍ 0 S' 0 -5 -20 -15 -10 -5 0 5 10 15 20 -15 -10 -5 $_{x_{1}}^{0}$ 5 10

Transmission II: Wave-length comparable to structure



The scheme Comparison with homogenization A radiating source

A finite crystal with positive refraction property



The scheme Comparison with homogenization A radiating source

A finite crystal with negative refraction property

|u| for source at (-3.5, 0) and $\omega = 1.85$

